1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 10 KURT A. BENSHOOF and BRIANA D. CASE NO. 2:24-cv-00343-TL 11 GAGE, 12 ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' Plaintiffs, MOTION TO REVOKE v. 13 PLAINTIFFS' IN FORMA PAUPERIS **S**TATUS CITY OF SHORELINE; KING COUNTY; 14 TOWN & COUNTRY MARKETS; EVAN B. FAGAN; WILLIAM C. AKERS; and 15 MR. THOMPSON, 16 Defendants. 17 18 19 This matter is before the Court on King County Defendants' Motion to Revoke Plaintiffs' 20 In Forma Pauperis Status. Dkt. No. 29. Having reviewed the motion and the relevant record, the 21 Court DENIES the motion. 22 Defendants argue that "inconsistencies and omissions in [Plaintiff Kurt] Benshoof's IFP 23 applications should lead this Court to conclude that he has failed to show he is unable to pay 24 court fees." Dkt. No. 29 at 7. However, after a thorough review and collection of additional

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO REVOKE PLAINTIFFS' IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS - 1

1	information from Plaintiff, the Honorable S. Kate Vaughan, United States Magistrate Judge,
2	granted IFP status, and this Court sees no reason to upset that conclusion. See Dkt. No. 13.
3	Defendants also argue that "this lawsuit is simply another in a long line of frivolous and
4	obviously malicious lawsuits." Dkt. No. 29 at 7; see also id. at 7–11. However, this argument is
5	essentially an argument to dismiss the case. Defendants may present these arguments in a prope
6	motion to dismiss, as Defendants Fagan and Town & Country have done. See Dkt. No. 43.
7	Accordingly, Defendants' motion is DENIED.
8	Dated this 23rd day of July 2024.
9	Jana St.
10	Tana Lin
11	United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24